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Overview

• Background
• Trends, impacts and major causes of broken rail derailments
• Deconstructing the process:
  – RCF generation → defect growth → ultimate fracture
• Modelling of rail failure and development of limits
• Driving rail break derailments down – where to focus?
• Performance targets
• Conclusions

- Rail break derailments combine high frequency with high severity
But trends are in the right direction....

J. Stanford and M Roney, “Understanding Rail Head Loss and Rail Integrity Interactions”, presentation to FRA Rail Integrity Working Group, February 23 2016
• Detail fractures are a major cause of rail break derailments

Overall process for rail failure from RCF related defects

1. Initiation of Rail defects.
   - Surface initiation of surface RCF cracks
   - Growth of cracks into railhead

2. Growth of rail defect size

3. Critical failure of Rail
Crack Initiation and Growth

Ratcheting caused by contact fatigue

Shakedown diagram (Johnson)
Cracks can propagate by influence of liquids (water) by either reducing crack wall friction or hydraulic pressurization.
Without water (and other liquids), crack growth would be mainly limited to rail surface

C Hardwick, R. Lewis, D.I. Fletcher, and R Stock, “THE EFFECTS OF FRICTION MANAGEMENT MATERIALS ON RAIL WITH PRE EXISTING RCF SURFACE DAMAGE” IHHA 2015
• Residual stresses are a major influence in crack and defect propagation

• Residual stresses near the rail surface are COMPRESSIVE therefore will tend to retard crack growth
**Phase 2:** Defect has now grown outside the influence of contact forces. Growth driven by rail longitudinal stresses

\[ \sigma_\infty = \sigma_R + \sigma_T + M_G(a) \cdot \sigma_B \]

- **Longitudinal stress**
- **Thermal Stress**
- **Residual stress** (rail manufacturing)
- **Bending stress**
Bending stresses

- Maximum bending moment occurs directly under the load
  - Generates compressive stress with less likelihood of crack defect propagation
- Maximum tensile bending occurs away from point of load application (reverse bending)
Thermal stresses in continuously welded rail

\[ \sigma_T = E\alpha \Delta T \]

\( \Delta T \) = difference between in service temp. and stress free (neutral) temp.

\( \alpha \) = coefficient of thermal expansion of rail steel

\( E \) = Modulus of Elasticity
Rail Break seasonality in southern Brazil

Average summer low temp 48-52F

Data courtesy of Leonardo Soares, RUMO
Phase 3: Rail Failure

- Defects grow to a critical size
- Fracture in response to *dynamically applied loads*
  - At average loads if cracks allowed to grow to large size
  - At smaller size when high dynamic loads e.g. from wheel flats
- Low temperature (high delta T) leads to high tensile longitudinal stress
MODELLING OF RAIL STRENGTH AND CALCULATION OF WEAR AND OTHER LIMITS
• Volpe Centre: Orringer, Jeong et al
  - Mainly based on fracture mechanics
  - Modelling of defect growth rates and safe rail wear limits based on target inspection interval

• Igwemezie:
  - Linear finite element analysis (FEA) complemented by cold chamber hammer drop testing
  - Highly influential in setting rail wear limits on Class 1s

• Mutton et al
  - Fracture mechanics plus FEA and multi-body dynamics.

• Ekberg et al:
  - Linear elastic fracture mechanics to calculate wheel impact load limits
Defect growth rates: the great unknown variable - can be modelled successfully but only by (retrospectively) adjusting residual stress intensity factor.
WHAT CAN BE DONE TO ACCELERATE REDUCTION IN RAIL BREAK DERAILEMENTS?
Mitigate initiation and growth of RCF

1. Grinding

Enhanced grinding for rail defect / rail break reduction?

• How to determine the magic wear rate? (ICRI)
• Are Class 1s truly in a preventative grinding mode and able to achieve the magic wear rate?
  – 25 MGT in curves, 70 MGT in tangent
  – Sufficient metal removal to prevent crack growth into the rail head
  – Grinding budgets set to maximize economic rail life – consider economics of grinding for defect minimization / rail breaks?
• New measurement technologies (eddy current, magnetic induction) to better understand crack removal effectiveness
Eddy current measurements
Mitigate initiation and growth of RCF

2. Friction Management

- Reduce traction forces and prevent ratcheting
Mitigate initiation and growth of RCF:

3 Improved rail quality

1. Residual stress specifications?
   1. Deeper compressive residual stress zone (1/2” into rail?)
   2. Reduce tensile residual stresses - mitigate Phase 2 Defect Growth

2. Fracture toughness specs? (especially at cold temperatures)

3. Rail cleanliness specs introduced in late 1980s led to significant improvements – time for further improvements?
Mitigate Defect Growth rates (Phase 2) – control rail longitudinal stresses

- Rail tensile longitudinal stresses: primarily introduced by roller straightening
- Thermal longitudinal stress:
  - Rail is laid at high stress free (“neutral”) temperature to minimize potential for sun kinks
  - Although track will naturally try to destress, cold weather may still lead to very high temperature differentials (ΔT) and consequent thermal stress in the rail
RAIL WEAR EFFECTS AND LIMITS
• Worn rail affects rail strength due to:
  – Corresponding reduction in moment of inertia
  – Increased lateral and vertical bending
  – Increased peak magnitude of tensile longitudinal bending stresses
Data from a Class 1 on head loss for rails with service failures shows no apparent relationship between head loss and rail fracture.
DEFECT TESTING
Ultrasonic testing is the main tool to mitigate risk of defects: trend has been to increased testing frequency
SETTING TARGETS THAT WILL HELP TO REDUCE RAIL BREAK DERAILMENTS
• Target Rail Service Failures per length of track?

- Small defects (<20% HA)
- Large defects (>20% HA)
- Rail Service Failures
- Broken Rail Derailments
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Conclusions

• Rail break derailments are relatively common and severe in impact
  – Risk to industry in reactive regulatory response to public / social media responses
  – Need to be proactive in showing positive responses
• Positive trend likely due to improved rail metallurgy, grinding, friction management and more frequent ultrasonic testing
• For accelerated reduction:
  – Focus on RCF prevention through ongoing improvements in crack growth mitigation (grinding, FM)
  – Consider rail residual stresses – deeper residual stress, less tensile stress. Fracture toughness specs
  – More attention to rail thermal stresses esp in transition into winter – destress
  – Reduce worst wheel impact loads especially in winter
• Many areas of uncertainty and new knowledge needs
• Reverse detail fracture

• 5% of head area!
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